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Abstract

Background
The constantly growing publication rate of medical research articles puts increasing pressure on medical specialists who need to be aware of the recent developments in their field. The currently used literature retrieval systems allow researchers to find specific papers; however the search task is still repetitive and time-consuming.

Aims
In this paper we describe a system that retrieves medical publications by automatically generating queries based on data from an electronic patient record. This allows the doctor to focus on medical issues and provide an improved service to the patient, with higher confidence that it is underpinned by current research.

Method
Our research prototype automatically generates query terms based on the patient record and adds weight factors for each term. Currently the patient’s age is taken into account with a fuzzy logic derived weight, and terms describing blood-related anomalies are derived from recent blood test results. Conditionally selected homonyms are used for query expansion.

The query retrieves matching records from a local index of PubMed publications and displays results in descending relevance for the given patient. Recent publications are clearly highlighted for instant recognition by the researcher.

Results
Nine medical specialists from the Royal Adelaide Hospital evaluated the system and submitted pre-trial and post-trial questionnaires. Throughout the study we received positive feedback as doctors felt the support provided by the prototype was useful, and which they would like to use in their daily routine.

Conclusion
By supporting the time-consuming task of query formulation and iterative modification as well as by presenting the search results in order of relevance for the specific patient, literature retrieval becomes part of the daily workflow of busy professionals.
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What this study adds:
1. Whilst many literature retrieval tools exist on the market, none provide an automated workflow to minimise the search effort.
2. Our approach automatically finds relevant publications without much interaction by the doctor.
3. Medical specialists are made aware of relevant literature even during patient consultation without taking attention away from the patient.

Background
The publication rate of medical research articles is constantly increasing. This puts pressure on medical specialists who need to be aware of the outcome of recent studies in their field, recent discoveries and new technologies in order to provide the optimal diagnosis and treatment for their patients.¹,²

The search tools currently available to medical specialists allow them to instantly search all publications, however the retrieval process is time consuming and repetitious.³ The most widely used medical publication system PubMed⁴ provided by the United States National Library of Medicine is based on Boolean retrieval. It therefore only shows publications exactly matching the search terms entered into the system. Those search terms can be combined as
It is a multisystem disease with the respiratory tract being the most severely affected and respiratory failure being the common cause of death if lung transplantation is not available. The gastrointestinal tract including the pancreas and liver are commonly involved with resultant malabsorption. Ongoing pancreatic disease may also result in diabetes. Liver involvement may result in the development of liver damage with cirrhosis and portal hypertension. Abnormal function of the CFTR results in loss of sodium and chloride in the sweat and may lead to serum electrolyte abnormalities. In males with CF congenital absence of the vas deferens occurs in 95% of patients, rendering them infertile.

The important management strategies in the treatment of CF are:

- management by a multidisciplinary team;
- treating respiratory tract infections;
- maintaining a high calorific, high fat diet;
- airway clearance i.e. ensuring the airways are free of mucous plugs and sputum.

The multisystem nature of the disease and the multidisciplinary treatment strategies means that any search engine must be able to cover many aspects of medicine from basic genetics to therapeutics, physiotherapy and dietetics. It is also important the results from literature searches be clinically relevant, current and in a format not requiring further searches through hundreds of pages. This was the challenge of this project. Blood electrolytes and glucose levels are often abnormal in patients with CF. We thought that searching on these biochemical indices would be an appropriate starting point to test the search engine logic.

Table 1. Age-related MeSH terms and their crisp definition compared to our fuzzy definition, for age ranges of patients in the database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MeSH term</th>
<th>Crisp definition:</th>
<th>Fuzzy definition:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age from</td>
<td>Age to</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent</td>
<td>13 yr</td>
<td>10 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young Adult</td>
<td>19 yr</td>
<td>14 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>19 yr</td>
<td>15 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Aged</td>
<td>45 yr</td>
<td>40 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aged</td>
<td>65 yr</td>
<td>60 yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Materials and Methods

The following section describes the data used and the components of our system.

Corpus

The corpus of publications indexed is the subset of all CF-related publications accessible through PubMed. As the
system developed has been designed to be used by a multi-disciplinary team of medical researchers sharing care for CF patients, articles describing studies are of high relevance. For another audience in different applications it might be more suitable to limit the corpus to review papers or even clinical practice guidelines.

**Search engine**

Our tool is based on the probabilistic search engine PADRE\(^8\) which supports the use of weights for search terms. PADRE considers a query term with a term weight of 2 to be twice as important as a query term with the default weight of 1. Query terms and synonyms are therefore weighted in order to distinguish between more or less relevant search terms. Although some probabilistic search engines allow the manual weighting of query terms, they are only exploited for internal use such as relevance feedback since using them for manually entered queries would make the user interface too complex. We will show example query components in PADRE syntax with alternative terms being encapsulated in square brackets, e.g. 

\[
[\text{search term}]^{\text{weight}}_{\text{alternative term}}^{\text{alt weight}}
\]

The fundamental text-matching component of the PADRE ranking function is a slightly modified version of BM25.\(^9\) Page 4 of\(^9\) gives the precise formula. The BM25 score for a document, given a multi-term query, is the weighted sum of the scores due to each query term. Individual term scores are calculated using a \(tf.idf\) variant in which term frequencies are document-length normalised (parameter-ised) and subjected to a (parameterised) saturation function. Individual term scores are weighted (multiplied) by \(q_t\), the weight calculated by our tool for term \(t\).

Some studies show that certain domain experts are reluctant to move away from boolean retrieval systems.\(^10\)

However, rather than just aiming for 100% recall, this tool has functions that simplify the retrieval process, which allow it to be embedded in a doctor’s daily workflow.

**Automatic query generation**

The first step in taking a load off the doctor is to release him from the task of manually entering search terms describing the patient’s situation.

This covers the first aspect of an Evidence-based medicine (EBM)\(^11\) related search strategy described as the acronym PICO, where the doctor expresses clinical questions in four steps:

- **Patient** Who/what is the patient/problem being addressed?
- **Intervention** What is the intended intervention?
- **Comparison** What is the intervention compared to?
- **Outcome** What are the outcomes?

The query is automatically generated based on the electronic patient record. The automatic query generation is currently based on diagnoses, the age of the patient and results of recent blood test results.

**Simple search terms**: Diagnoses are already added manually into the patient record by one of the doctors in previous consultations. We extract those underlying diagnoses from the patient record and weight them with 1 as it would be as manually added search term.

Example query component: "Cystic Fibrosis"^\text{alt weight}^

\[\text{Figure 1: Calculating term weights for age-related MeSH terms using fuzzy membership functions for a 20 year old patient. The fuzzy membership function for Adolescent is drawn in red (dash-dotted line), the one for Young Adult in green (dashed line).}\]
**Fuzzy mapped search terms:** PubMed uses Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms to encode the age of the patients described within a publication. Those age-related MeSH terms are defined crisply and a PubMed search for a certain age group would not necessarily return publications mentioning patients that are only one year older. We compensate for this disadvantage of indexing using crisp MeSH terms. The age of the patient is mapped to age-specific search terms and weights are calculated based on fuzzy membership functions describing each of those terms. Table 1 lists the age-related MeSH terms and their crisp definition, as well as the fuzzy definition we expanded it to by adding the sides of the trapezoids. Notice that we only generated fuzzy membership functions for the age ranges of the patients currently in the database. Most importantly we extended the age range for the term Adult, which is defined within MeSH as 19 to 44 years. We do not believe that this is the common understanding of the term Adult. To reflect this, we de facto removed the upper boundaries for Adult and Aged by setting it to the artificially high age of 200 years to keep the algorithm simpler whilst still effectively removing one flank.

**Formula 1: Weights based on fuzzy membership functions.**

\[
\text{weight}_{\text{age}} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } \text{age} < a_{\text{low}} \text{ or } \text{age} > d_{\text{low}} \\
\frac{\text{age} - a}{b - a} & \text{if } a_{\text{low}} \leq \text{age} \leq b_{\text{low}} \\
1 & \text{if } b_{\text{low}} \leq \text{age} \leq c_{\text{low}} \\
\frac{d - \text{age}}{d - c} & \text{if } c_{\text{low}} \leq \text{age} \leq d_{\text{low}} 
\end{cases}
\]

Figure 1 shows two overlapping trapezoidal membership functions for Adolescent and Young Adult and how search term weights are generated for a given age of 20 years. Using a crisp definition as in Table 1, only publications labeled with the MeSH term Young Adult would be returned. Our system however also retrieves publications indexed with the MeSH term Adolescent, but rank that search result lower, due to the reduced term weight.

The weight for an age-related search term is computed using Formula 1 for trapezoidal fuzzy membership functions, using the values a, b, c, d defined in Table 1.

**Example query component:** ["Young Adult"^1 "Adolescent" ^0.5]

**Extremeness of blood test results:** The results from recent blood tests are compared to minimal and maximum values provided by the lab for each measurement. Using those ranges provided by the labs did not prove sufficient as for some blood tests such as glucose, where the mean value of the cohort of patients is already higher than the maximum defined by the lab. This phenomenon is caused by the fact that all patients in the database are CF patients and CF patients tend to develop CF-related diabetes.

Our tool also takes into account the cohort of patients in the database. The variability in the blood tests is shown in Figure 2; a Parallel Coordinates Plot. Each line shows the most recent values of blood tests for each patient in the database. On the four vertical parallel axes labelled calcium, glucose, potassium and sodium, the data points are scaled to plot the lowest recorded value on the bottom and the highest recorded value on top of the axes. This visualisation was used to examine the variation in combinations of expressions across the patient cohort. The plot has been produced using the statistical environment R and a package called iPlots. The iPlot package allows the user to select a certain line or group of lines to see the combination of expressions. In the screenshot, a group of patients sharing a similarly low sodium level have been selected causing the lines to be drawn in red.
This type of plot clearly shows that even though the five patients are low in sodium, the values of other blood test types are widely distributed. This variability in distribution shows that every patient’s data is likely to generate a unique query even without taking the other query components into account.

Definition 1: Let $p(x)$ denote the percentage of observations of the metabolite that are lower than the observation $x$, for the patient of interest. Therefore $x$ is the $p(x)$ percentile of the empirical distribution of the metabolite across all patients. We decided to increase the weight of search terms according to the following empirically defined exponential weighting Formula 2.

**Formula 2: Percentile-based weights.**

$$
weight(x) = \begin{cases} 
1.1^{10^{-5}} \cdot 10^{-x} & \text{if } P(x) \leq 50 \\
1.1^{5(x)} \cdot 10^{-1} & \text{if } P(x) > 50
\end{cases}
$$

According to Formula 2, a test result at the 50th percentile (the median) will receive a weighting of 0.1. This small weight will effectively result in the search term becoming insignificant.

Outlying values however will receive higher weights, for example a test result in the 5th (or 95th) percentiles will receive a weighting of 8.6 and therefore rank publications containing the search term much higher.

**Introduction of homonyms and conditional homonyms**

We manually compiled a list of homonyms to allow the specialist to fine-tune the system for their needs.

If the patient’s test result is out of the range provided by the test lab, we also add the appropriate terms describing this condition. A high or low glucose level would therefore trigger the alternative search term *Hyperglycemia* or *Hypoglycemia* respectively to be added. The weight for this alternative search term is still determined by the percentile of the value.

Example query component:

```
["Glucose"^0.2 "blood sugar"^0.2 "Hyperglycemia"^0.2]
```

**User interface design and result presentation**

The design of the user interface was mainly guided by the following five design goals: easy to learn, simple to use, fast, comprehensible and visually appealing.
As shown in Figure 3, the user interface is divided into the following four sections:

**Patient:** A brief patient detail view allows the doctor to verify that he is investigating the correct patient. Notice that identifying details have been removed from the dataset due to requirements of the ethics committee for the study.

**Query:** The query section contains a form, which is constructed and pre-filled by the system according to the data in the patient record. The sex and matching age describing MeSH terms are displayed as well as the manually specified diagnoses.

Blood test results are shown for the four tests we decided to use in the prototype. Values that are out of the range specified by the lab are printed in red as they are in the system currently used by the doctors. The tests are ordered by their extremeness, which is printed and prefixed with a red up arrow or blue down arrow to indicate the value being too high or too low respectively.

The query only specifies the patient aspect of the PICO system. Other aspects can be entered using manual search terms.

**Generated query:** The generated query section is collapsed per default on purpose as it contains the complex PADRE...
query used to retrieve the publications. This information is not needed in normal use, and only allows the doctor to examine the detailed effects of the selections in the query section described above.

Related Publications: The initial query is automatically generated and evaluated and the result is presented to the doctor as a list ordered by relevance. The number of search terms matched by each publication is indicated by a small pie chart icon. Articles published within the last three years are flagged in red, rose or grey respectively and allow a very quick indication if a publication is recent.

Doctors can scan the titles of the top results or quickly scroll through the list looking for publications flagged as recently published as new publications are more likely to be unknown to the doctor. Clicking on the title of a publications slide opens a detail view of the publication, containing metadata as well as the abstract. The selected publication in Figure 3 is well down the list, which is ordered by relevance. The small pie chart shows it does not satisfy all the search terms (e.g. potassium). The flag shows it is a recent publication. Matching keywords are highlighted in the abstract allowing the user to validate the relevance of the result. The reference to the publisher acts as a link back to PubMed where more information including a download link to the publication can be found.

In case the doctor is not satisfied with the results, the query can quickly be refined in the query section of the user interface.

The idea is to quickly grasp if relevant but unknown literature exists. The intended workflow is to open the tool with the patient-ID automatically passed in. The doctor can glance over the already displayed publications or quickly uncheck characteristics to be removed from the query.

Evaluation

System Behaviour

The first version of the prototype used PubMed directly as a backend and composed queries in PubMed syntax. However this did not prove beneficial for various reasons:

- It took several seconds to retrieve the results through the PubMed API.
- PubMed does not allow the use of term weights.
- The results are not ordered by relevance, but e.g. by date of publication.
- A high number of query terms led to empty result sets.

We did not measure the exact query execution time for both systems for comparison, as the prohibitive problems of the other three reasons listed above are of a qualitative nature.

User study

We approached medical staff providing ongoing medical treatment for CF patients at the Royal Adelaide Hospital to evaluate the system and asked them for feedback. Nine participants were recruited and contributed their feedback. Although this is a small number of participants and does not allow a quantitative analysis of the results, this is compensated for by our access to real patient data and that the participants are professionals actually treating CF patients and are therefore the potential future users of the system.

Pre-trial questionnaire: A pre-trial questionnaire handed out before introducing our system asked for a description their used literature retrieval procedure. Many participants indicated that they only have very limited time for literature searches and that it usually takes many iterations of modified queries to find what they need. Most of them indicated concerns about missing out on important results not retrieved by their current systems.

Post-trial questionnaire: After using the system to freely explore its behaviour searching for results for various patients, participants were asked to provide feedback via post-trial questionnaires. The questions were of an open nature and explicitly asked for elaborative answers. The feedback gathered was generally very positive. Most participants felt that they had to spend less time searching whilst being more confident that they had not missed important results. The most surprising comment added by several participants was that they wanted to use the system even without the case-based feature. This indicates that they found the system superior to their current literature retrieval tool and wanted to use it for general medical literature retrieval.

Discussion and Conclusions

The integration of this tool in the electronic patient record system will help medical practitioners to regularly review patients’ cases with respect to any new literature available. Furthermore it will allow them to improve confidence in their diagnoses and decisions about the treatment, based on the knowledge that they are aware of the recent progress in the field. The doctor can simply focus on his patient rather than search engine peculiarities.

Future work might include a way for the researcher to specify the origin of publications as search preferences.
Additional resources such as clinical trials could be added to the searchable collection.

Furthermore, the homonyms could be resolved through a medical ontology or thesaurus; however this activity was beyond the scope of this prototype.
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