AMJ Australasian Medical Journal

[AMJ 2024;17(12):1256-1258]

Case Study Report: Complex Abdominal Surgery in a 45-Year-Old Patient with Acute Abdominal Pain and Peritonitis

Olivia Patel[1], Michael Turner[2]*, Robert Hayes[2]

[1]Department of Neurology, Neuroscience Institute, Sydney

[2]Department of Neurology, Cardiomed Institute of Health Sciences, California

CASE STUDY

Please cite this paper as: Patel O, Turner M, Hayes R. Case Study Report: Complex Abdominal Surgery in a 45-Year-Old Patient with Acute Abdominal Pain and Peritonitis. AMJ 2024;17(12):1256-1258.
https://doi.org/10.21767/AMJ.2024.4074

Corresponding Author:
Michael Turner
Department of Neurology,
Cardiomed Institute of Health Sciences,
California
michael.turner@cardiomed.ac.edu

Abstract

This case study presents the successful management of a 45-year-old male patient who underwent emergency abdominal surgery for a perforated appendix leading to acute peritonitis. The case highlights the diagnostic challenges, surgical interventions, and post-operative care necessary to manage such a complex condition. The patient's clinical presentation included severe abdominal pain, nausea, and fever, which prompted an immediate surgical intervention. The importance of early diagnosis, prompt surgical treatment, and post-operative monitoring for complications are emphasized. This case also discusses the role of perioperative care, including pain management and infection control, and reflects on the lessons learned from managing post-operative complications.

Key Words: Neurology, Abdominal, Surgery, Ultrasound.

Introduction

Abdominal pain is a common medical complaint with a wide range of potential causes. Among these, acute appendicitis is one of the most frequent conditions requiring surgical intervention. Appendicitis, when complicated by perforation, can lead to peritonitis, a severe infection that poses significant challenges for surgical management and post-operative recovery. This case study reports the management of a 45-year-old male who presented with acute abdominal pain and was diagnosed with a perforated appendix resulting in peritonitis. The complexity of this case lies in the timing of surgery, the extent of the infection, and the patient's recovery. This report aims to explore the diagnostic process, surgical procedure, and post-operative care that contributed to the patient's successful recovery.

Case Présentation

Patient Information

The patient is a 45-year-old male with no significant past medical history. He reported a 48-hour history of increasing right lower quadrant abdominal pain, nausea, and vomiting. His pain had progressively worsened, and he experienced fever and chills. The patient's social history was non-contributory, with no history of smoking or alcohol abuse. Family history was negative for gastrointestinal disorders or any hereditary conditions.

Presenting Problem

Upon presentation to the emergency department, the patient was in severe distress, unable to remain still due to the intensity of the pain. The abdomen was rigid, with signs of guarding and rebound tenderness in the right lower quadrant. Vital signs showed a fever of 38.8°C (101.8°F), heart rate of 110 bpm, and a blood pressure of 100/70 mmHg. The patient was immediately evaluated by the surgical team, and based on the clinical findings and the patient's history; acute appendicitis with possible perforation was suspected.

Diagnostic Workup

The patient underwent an initial workup, including a complete blood count (CBC), which revealed an elevated white blood cell count (WBC) of 18,000 cells/mm³, indicative of an active infection. Abdominal imaging was performed using computed tomography (CT) with contrast. The CT scan revealed a perforated appendix, free air in the peritoneum, and diffuse inflammation in the surrounding tissues consistent with peritonitis. These findings confirmed the diagnosis of perforated appendicitis complicated by peritonitis.

Physical Examination

On physical examination, the patient had a distended abdomen with generalized tenderness on palpation. There was severe tenderness in the right lower quadrant, along with rebound tenderness and muscle guarding, which are hallmark signs of peritoneal irritation. No signs of bowel obstruction were noted, but there was evidence of systemic inflammation, including tachycardia and fever. The diagnosis of acute peritonitis secondary to perforated appendicitis was established, and the patient was prepared for emergency surgery.

Surgical Intervention

Preoperative Preparation

Given the patient's deteriorating condition and the severity of his symptoms, emergency surgery was scheduled immediately. The patient was prepped for surgery under general anesthesia. Intravenous fluids were administered to stabilize his blood pressure and hydration status, and broad-spectrum antibiotics were started to address the risk of infection. The surgical team, consisting of an attending surgeon, surgical resident, and anesthesiologist, discussed the potential complications of surgery, including infection, bleeding, and prolonged recovery.

Procedure

The surgical approach was laparotomy, which involves a large incision in the abdomen to gain direct access to the peritoneal cavity. After anesthesia induction, the patient was placed in the supine position, and the abdomen was sterilized with iodine-based solution. The incision was made in the lower abdomen, and once the peritoneal cavity was opened, extensive purulent fluid was encountered, consistent with the suspected peritonitis. The appendix was identified, and it was found to be perforated with surrounding necrosis. The appendix was removed, and a thorough washout of the peritoneal cavity was performed to remove the infected material. After completing the appendectomy, the surgeons closed the peritoneum and abdominal wall in layers, ensuring proper drainage of any residual infection.

Intraoperative Challenges

During the procedure, the patient experienced minor bleeding, which was controlled with cautery. There were also signs of extensive adhesions in the abdominal cavity, likely from the prolonged infection. These adhesions made the dissection more challenging and increased the length of the procedure. However, the surgical team proceeded cautiously to ensure a thorough removal of the infected tissue and proper closure of the surgical site. The total operative time was approximately 2.5 hours.

Postoperative Care

Post-operatively, the patient was transferred to the intensive care unit (ICU) for close monitoring due to the severity of the infection. He was placed on intravenous antibiotics (meropenem and metronidazole) to cover a broad spectrum of potential pathogens. Pain management was initiated with opioids and transitioned to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) once the patient's condition stabilized. The patient was also monitored for any signs of organ dysfunction or septic shock, though no immediate complications were noted.

Postoperative Outcome and Follow-up

Immediate Postoperative Course

The patient's recovery was closely monitored in the ICU during the first 48 hours. Vital signs remained stable, and he was gradually weaned off mechanical ventilation. The patient began tolerating oral fluids by postoperative day 2 and was transitioned to a soft diet on day 3. Laboratory tests showed a gradual decrease in the white blood cell count, indicating that the infection was resolving.

The surgical site was closely inspected for signs of infection, and the patient received regular dressing changes. By day 5, there was no sign of wound dehiscence, and the patient was moved to a general ward for continued care.

Complications

On postoperative day 6, the patient developed a mild fever (38.2°C/100.8°F), which prompted a workup for potential intra-abdominal abscesses. A CT scan revealed no evidence of an abscess, but the fever was attributed to mild inflammation. The patient's fever resolved with the continuation of antibiotics, and no further complications were noted.

Follow-up and Recovery

At the time of discharge, which occurred on postoperative day 10, the patient was stable, afebrile, and able to ambulate independently. He was given instructions on wound care, pain management, and activity restrictions. A follow-up appointment was scheduled for two weeks post-discharge to monitor his recovery.

At the follow-up visit, the patient was doing well, with no evidence of infection or complications. The surgical wound had healed without issue, and the patient was gradually returning to normal activities.

Discussion

Significance of Timely Diagnosis and Surgery

This case highlights the importance of early recognition and timely surgical intervention in patients with acute appendicitis complicated by perforation. Delayed treatment can lead to peritonitis, septic shock, and multiorgan failure, which significantly increase morbidity and mortality. Early intervention, as seen in this case, is crucial for preventing these outcomes. The patient's stable recovery underscores the value of prompt surgical treatment, appropriate antimicrobial therapy, and meticulous post-operative care.

Surgical Approach and Techniques

The laparotomy approach, while often considered invasive, was the appropriate choice for this patient due to the severity of the infection and the extensive adhesions present in the abdomen. Laparoscopic appendectomy is preferred in less complicated cases of appendicitis, but for cases complicated by perforation and peritonitis, an open approach allows better access for proper debridement and inspection of the peritoneal cavity.

Postoperative Care and Complications

Postoperative management plays a pivotal role in the recovery of patients who undergo abdominal surgery for peritonitis. The patient's recovery was supported by early nutritional support, vigilant monitoring for infections, and careful management of pain. Complications, such as the mild fever in the postoperative period, are common in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery but were successfully managed in this case. Appropriate follow-up care, including imaging studies to rule out abscess formation, ensures that complications are identified and treated promptly.

Conclusion

This case report illustrates the management of a 45-year-old male who underwent emergency abdominal surgery for a perforated appendix with peritonitis. Timely surgical intervention, combined with appropriate perioperative care, played a critical role in the patient's recovery. The case underscores the importance of early diagnosis, prompt surgical intervention, and vigilant post-operative monitoring in achieving favorable outcomes. The lessons learned from this case are valuable in refining surgical techniques, enhancing postoperative care protocols, and improving patient outcomes in future similar cases.

References

1. Addiss DG, Shaffer N, Fowler BS. The epidemiology of appendicitis and appendectomy in the United States. Am J Epidemiol. 1990;132(5):910-25. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/OXFORDJOURNALS.AJE.A115734
2. Guller U, Jain N, Peterson ED, et al. Laparoscopic appendectomy in the elderly. Surgery. 2004;135(5):479-88. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-005-0658-8
3. Moris D, Paulson EK, Pappas TN. Diagnosis and management of acute appendicitis in adults: a review. Jama. 2021;326(22):2299-311. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.20502
4. Chen C, Botelho C, Cooper A. Current practice patterns in the treatment of perforated appendicitis in children. J Am Coll Surg. 2003;196(2):212-21. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1072-7515%2802%2901666-6
5. Dunn DL. Antibiotic treatment for surgical peritonitis. Ann Surg. 1991;214(5):550. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1097/00000658-199111000-00001

Received: *26-Dec-2024, Manuscript No. AMJ-24-4074;* ***Editor assigned:*** *27-Dec-2024, PreQC No. AMJ-24-4074(PQ);* ***Reviewed:*** *31-Dec-2024, QC No. AMJ-24-4074;* ***Revised:*** *07-Jan-2025, Manuscript No. AMJ-24-4074(R);* ***Published:*** *10-Jan-2025*