

The use of anaesthetic agents to provide anxiolysis and sedation in dentistry and oral surgery

Michael O'Halloran

School of Dentistry, University of Western Australia

REVIEW

Please cite this paper as: O'Halloran, M. The use of anaesthetic agents to provide anxiolysis and sedation in dentistry and oral surgery. AMJ 2013, 6, 12, 713-718. http://doi.org/10.21767/AMJ.2013.1836

Corresponding Author:

Michael O'Halloran Oral Surgery Department, School of Dentistry, University of Western Australia, Ground Floor, E-Block, Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital, Hospital Avenue, Nedlands, Perth, WA 6009 <u>Email: michaelkohalloran@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

Throughout the world there is considerable variation in the techniques used to manage anxious dental patients requiring treatment. Traditionally anxious or phobic dental patients may have been sent for general anaesthesia to allow dental treatment be undertaken. While this is still the case for the more invasive oral surgical procedures, such as wisdom teeth extraction, sedation in general dentistry is becoming more popular.

Various sedation techniques using many different anaesthetic agents have gained considerable popularity over the past 30 years. While the practice of sedating patients for dental procedures is invaluable in the management of suitably assessed patients, patient safety must always be the primary concern. Medical, dental and psychosocial considerations must be taken into account when evaluating the patient need and the patient suitability for sedation or general anaesthesia.

The regulations that govern the practice of dental sedation vary throughout the world, in particular regarding the techniques used and the training necessary for dental practitioners to sedate patients. It is necessary for medical and dental practitioners to be up to date on current practice to ensure standards of practice, competence and safety throughout our profession.

This article, the first in a two-part series, will provide information to practitioners on the practice of sedation in dentistry, the circumstances where it may be appropriate instead of general anaesthesia and the risks involved with sedation. It will also discuss the specific training and qualifications required for dental practitioners to provide sedation. The second article in this series will outline the different techniques used to administer inhalation, oral and intravenous sedation in dentistry and will focus on specific methods that are practiced.

Key Words

Conscious sedation, sedation, dentistry, anaesthetic agents, fear, dental phobia, anxiolysis, access to treatment

What this study adds:

1. What is known about this subject? There have been no previous reviews outlining the guidelines for doctors and general dentists in Australia in regard to sedation for dental patients.

2. What new information is offered in this study? Information on the potential options for dental phobic patients, as well as information on the regulations governing the practice of sedation in dentistry.

3. What are the implications for research, policy or practice? Practitioners may become aware about safe and more efficient options for dental phobic patients.

Background

The routine use of general anaesthesia (GA) in the general dental practice setting has been phased out in the United Kingdom (UK) since the Poswillo Report¹ was published in 1990. This report made recommendations regarding GA, sedation and resuscitation in dentistry in order to improve safety standards because dental practitioners used to provide GA for patients in the dental chair. Modern standards of training and facilities were not required for this practice. Properly administered sedation is seen as an effective and safe alternative in appropriate circumstances



and this may be partly due to increasing difficulties in accessing GA services for dentistry. $^{\rm 2-5}$

A similar trend has been seen in many other countries over the past 30 years, including Australia, with the near abandonment of GA for routine dentistry.⁶⁻¹⁰ However what constitutes sedation varies from country to country and techniques differ.^{11,12} Some governing bodies strictly allow for only single-drug conscious sedation, as opposed to more profound sedation that may require multiple anaesthesic agents. It should be pointed out that sedation and anaesthesia are a continuum where GA is defined as a medically induced coma with the loss of protective reflexes resulting from the administration of one or more GA agents. This is distinct from the definition for conscious sedation that specifies that verbal communication must be maintained with a conscious patient and that the protective pharyngeal and laryngeal reflexes must remain intact at all times. Furthermore the conscious sedation techniques must "carry a margin of safety wide enough to render unintended loss of consciousness unlikely".³ This review, part one of a two-part series, outlines the current approaches to treating anxious patients for the purposes of providing dental treatment. The concluding article in this series will focus on the specific methods practiced in providing dental sedation and discuss the pharmacology of the drugs used, and will highlight the limitations of these techniques where GA remains best practice.

The practice of conscious sedation

Using sedation for dental treatment is not without significant risks for both the patient and the practitioner.¹³

Adequate assessment should lead to the selection of patients that are psychologically and medically suitable for sedation. While sedative techniques do offer a useful alternative for patients, including for those with challenging behavioural issues, there are still situations where the use of GA is advisable or unavoidable.⁴ In certain circumstances treatment under sedation is not reasonable, or is frankly contra-indicated. This can be because of the complexity of the dental treatment required, such as invasive oral surgical procedures, or the medical status of the patient.^{7,11}

Sedation should not be used habitually when there is no specific indication. The over-use of sedation in anxious patients is recognised and this can lead to patients becoming accustomed and reliant on sedation.^{13,14} It is viewed as bad practice to not allow patients every opportunity to acclimatise to treatment without the need for sedation,¹⁵ therefore practitioners should be aware of alternative methods available to treat dental fear and

anxiety that are based on psychological techniques. These include systematic desensitisation, conditioning, hypnosis, relaxation and distraction techniques, imaginable exposure and cognitive restructuring. There is evidence that behavioural interventions, such as cognitive behavioural therapy, can considerably help adults with dental anxiety or phobia.¹⁶⁻²⁰

The different sedation techniques are associated with different qualifying criteria. The importance of thorough history taking as well as clinical examination cannot be overemphasised.²¹⁻²³ Authors differ on their approach to the pre-operative assessment of patients for sedation.^{22,24,25} However, each aims to determine both the physical and psychosocial status of the patient, establish and categorise risk factors, and implement a suitable treatment plan.^{5,26-29}

The risks to the practitioner may relate to potential accusations arising from vivid hallucinations that patients often experience.^{30,31} This phenomenon is a side effect of the benzodiazepines, propofol and also nitrous oxide, which may be used to provide "relative analgesia" (RA). Patients should be advised of this side effect as part of the preoperative informed consent process. Frighteningly real vivid sexual fantasies may occur and must be safeguarded against for both the patient and the practitioner,^{4,15} therefore a member of the dental team should act as a chaperone and must be present in the surgery at all times during the dental sedation.^{6,16} This ensures that potential false accusations against dental staff can be accurately rebutted. Fortunately these accusations are rare.¹⁴

Chronic occupational exposure to nitrous oxide poses a potential risk to staff, especially female staff.^{6,32} The vast majority of non-anaesthetic adverse effects of nitrous oxide are due to its reaction with the reduced form of vitamin B12 (cobalamin). This inhibits the action of methionine synthase, a co-enzyme of vitamin B12, which converts homocysteine to methionine that indirectly supports methylation reactions and nucleic acid synthesis.³² Haematological, immunological and in particular female reproductive problems have been associated with nitrous oxide when used as a sedative and anaesthetic agent.^{33–36} Although these adverse effects are less likely than originally reported, nitrous oxide has been shown to interrupt normal biological processes and it is imperative to practice meticulously to minimise these risks.^{37,38} Nitrous oxide is used as the carrier for the volatile anaesthetic gas sevoflurane, which is being increasingly used at low concentrations to provide dental sedation in children in the outpatient setting. This is in an attempt to compensate for GA where these services are not available. Regulations generally put the onus on the



practitioner providing the sedation to have adequate scavenging equipment to reduce the nitrous oxide contamination of the surgery environment.³⁹⁻⁴²

While conscious sedation helps reduce anxiety in patients it may also mask the signs of an impending medical emergency, therefore the provision of conscious sedation in the outpatient practice setting must not be taken lightly. Fortunately high standards of preparedness and equipment are already mandatory for general dental practices.^{5,6,12} Antagonist drugs are available to treat overdose, such as the benzodiazepine antagonist flumazenil. It is expected that those providing sedation should be proficiently trained to deal with the emergencies that may occur when the central nervous system and respiratory function are depressed, such as the potential airway management issues that may arise. Without exception every member of the dental team should be trained in resuscitation. Training should be a team activity and should be regularly practiced in surgery under simulated conditions with refresher training courses at appropriate intervals.^{4,6,12,15}

Pre-assessment for conscious sedation

There should be a specific requirement and justification for each patient to be offered sedation for dental treatment. As discussed above, alternatives such as behavioural interventions do play a definite role and should be explored in each case.^{17–19} Furthermore, treatment under sedation is not an alternative for GA when providing treatment under GA is definitely warranted.

Following the systems review, medical history and physical examination, including the body mass index (BMI), the practitioner should be able to determine the patient's medical risk for sedation.^{22,23} The patient is then graded using the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) Physical Status Classification System.²⁶ This is a general consensus in many different regulations that patients who fit into ASA 1 (a normal healthy patient) or ASA 2 (a patient with mild systemic disease) may be safely treated in general dental practice.^{5,27-29}

Children and conscious sedation

Anxiety and fear are barriers to routine dental care in both children and adults. The provision of techniques, other than GA, such as sedation in general dental services aims to allow and support the treatment of patients.^{7,43-45} A recent Cochrane review highlighted that while behavioural techniques play an important role in managing children, many require sedation or even GA.⁴⁶ Providing safe and appropriate sedation services that have decreased financial and logistical limitations relative to GA, has been shown to

be effective and efficient for certain health services. 28,36,43,47 This is very important because data report that in countries such as the UK and US, 40-47 per cent of children aged five and six years have evidence of dental caries. Worryingly only 27-33 per cent of these children had received any treatment.⁴⁸ This represents a significant problem - if dentine caries is left untreated it can result in pain and sepsis, which can often only be managed by extensive restoration or even extraction of the affected teeth. Historically this has been managed in children with the use of GA. Whilst a proportion of children will always require GA, wherever possible this should be avoided due to the associated rare risk of death.⁴⁸ Multi-drug paediatric sedation is also associated with this risk, especially when inappropriate techniques are used in inappropriate patients and settings.^{27,28,36} Indeed, between the years 1980–2011, 44 children died subsequent to receiving either sedation or GA for a dental procedure in the US. Over 50 per cent of the deaths occurred in children aged two to five years and this correlates with prior findings related to the prevalence of tooth decay in this age group. While this age group may also require more pharmacological anxiolysis compared with older children, the data indicates an association between mortality and paediatric dental procedures under multidrug sedation, particularly in office settings.⁴⁹ Techniques used in the US, particularly providing GA in the office setting, are not permitted in the UK or Australia. This emphasises the importance of the strict regulation and robust education of practitioners involved in providing sedation or GA to patients.

Training and qualifications required to practice conscious sedation

Training in conscious sedation for dentists throughout the world has been ongoing for decades. In Australia the regulations place emphasis on a particularly high level of training for dental practitioners to be licensed to perform conscious sedation and practitioners must hold a specific University of Sydney post-graduate diploma qualification, or equivalent, to gain their endorsement.¹² Currently 96 out of 19,769 registered dentists in Australia hold this endorsement. This advanced training allows qualified practitioners to use multi-drug techniques. This is in contrast to the UK where dentists can undertake less advanced training to practice sedation and this means only the simpler sedation techniques are allowed.^{5,11} This allows for the dentist to act as both the practitioner and seditionist in many circumstances in the UK, whereas in Australia the dentist qualified to provide sedation would usually do this while a second dentist provided the required dental work.^{5,12} In the United States (US) there are massive variations throughout the different States with regards the



governance of both the training required and the techniques that can be used in dental sedation.

The advanced sedation techniques must only be undertaken in a suitable setting and following appropriate pre-operative assessment of the patient. Many of these techniques involve the administration of multiple anaesthetic agents. Some that have gained popularity include the use of various combinations including benzodiazepines, propofol, opiates, ketamine, barbituates, nitrous oxide and sevoflurane.⁵⁰ Many of these drugs are anaesthetic drugs that are used in sub-anaesthetic doses.^{15,36,40,41,51-53} They may be used in combinations to produce synergistic effects, where the clinical aim is to produce sedation rather than GA, which would require management of the patient's airway, likely endotracheal intubation, and respiratory support.^{27,52} Many of the regimens used today are advances of techniques that were introduced many decades ago.⁵⁴ The "Jorgensen Technique" is one such technique was introduced in 1945 in the US, where a combination of phenobarbitone, hyoscine and pethidine was administered to provide sedation.⁵⁵ In Australia the current conscious sedation techniques commonly use the benzodiazepine midazolam and fentanyl. The midazolam is delivered in a titrated manner to give the desired "end-point" where the patient is suitably sedated for treatment to proceed. Combining the midazolam and fentanyl give a synergistic effect that can provide profound sedation and also allow for a smaller overall dose of each drug to be administered.^{6,50} Some practitioners may also introduce propofol that gives enhanced and deeper sedation for a brief period when it may be required during certain procedures, such as dental extraction.

While the more advanced levels of training allow for the use of a wider variety of techniques in administering dental sedation, it must be emphasised that there is also greater emphasis on the level of training of support staff. For instance, annual training and re-certification in the management of sedation-related emergencies is mandatory in Australia.¹²

Conclusion

This review presents information detailing current practice in relation to the management of anxious dental patients and those phobic of dentistry. In particular it has discussed the administration of sedation by dentists for their patients and the potential benefits that sedation may provide relative to GA, in appropriate circumstances. As with any advancement in any area of dentistry or medicine there must firm governance regulating each proposed beneficial step, making sure that patients are not harmed and that patient safety remains paramount.

References

1. Poswillo DE (Chair). Standing Dental Advisory Committee. General anaesthesia, sedation and resuscitation in dentistry: report of an expert working party. London: HMSO, 1990.

2. General Dental Council. The First Five Years - A Framework for Undergraduate Dental Education. London: HMSO, 2002.

3. Expert Group on Sedation for Dentistry, Standing Dental Advisory Committee. Conscious sedation in the provision of dental care: report of an expert group on sedation for dentistry. London: HMSO 2003.

4. Dental Sedation Teachers Group. Training in conscious sedation for dentistry. London: HMSO 2005.

5. General Dental Council. Standards for dental professionals. London: HMSO 2005.

Mamaled SF. Sedation: A Guide to Patient Management.
 4th ed. St. Louis (Mo): Mosby; 2003. p. 26-54.

7. Freeman R. Assessing and managing dental phobia in general practice: some practical suggestions. Br Dent J. 1998 Mar 14;184(5):214-6.

8. Brown DF, Wright FA, McMurray NE. Psychological and behavioral factors associated with dental anxiety in children. J Behav Med. 1986 Apr;9(2):213-8.

9. Corah NL. Development of a dental anxiety scale. J Dent Res. 1969 Jul-Aug;48(4):596.

10. Corah NL, Pantera RE. Controlled study of psychologic stress in a dental procedure. J Dent Res. 1968 Jan-Feb;47(1):154-7.

11. National Dental Advisory Committee. Conscious sedation in dentistry – dental clinical guidelines. Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme. Dundee: HMSO 2006.

 Dental Board of Australia. Registration standard for endorsement in relation to conscious sedation. Melbourne: 2013.Dental Board of Australia. Registration Standard.

http://www.dentalboard.gov.au/Registration-

Standards.aspx (accessed 27 June 20013).

13. Girdler NM, Hill CM. Sedation Dentistry. 1st ed. Oxford: Wright; 1998.

14. Leitch J, Lennox C, Robb N. Recent advances in conscious sedation. Dent Update. 2005 May;32(4):199-200, 202-3.

15. Girdler NM, Hill CM, Wilson KE. Clinical Sedation in Dentistry. 1st ed. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell; 2009.

16. Meechan JG, Robb ND, Seymour RA. Pain and Anxiety Control for the Conscious Dental Patient. 1st ed. New York (NY): Oxford; 1998. p. 191-200.

17. Shaw AJ, Niven N. Theoretical concepts and practical applications of hypnosis in the treatment of children and adolescents with dental fear and anxiety. Br Dent J. 1996 Jan 6;180(1):11-6.



18. Gordon D, Heimberg RG, Tellez M, Ismail AI. A critical review of approaches to the treatment of dental anxiety in adults. J Anxiety Disord. 2013 May;27(4):365-78.

19. Wide Boman U, Carlsson V, Westin M, Hakeberg M. Psychological treatment of dental anxiety among adults: a systematic review. Eur J Oral Sci. 2013 Jun;121(3 Pt 2):225-34.

20. Manley MC, Skelly AM, Hamilton AG. Dental treatment for people with challenging behaviour: general anaesthesia or sedation? Br Dent J. 2000 Apr 8;188(7):358-60.

21. Fields M. Intravenous sedation: the risk to the dentist. Br Dent J. 1990 Jul 7;169(1):4-5.

22. Robb ND. Sedation in dentistry. Part 1: assessment of patients. Dent Update. 1996 May;23(4):153-6.

23. Faculty of Dental Surgery, The Royal College of Surgeons of England and The Royal College of Anaesthetists. Standards for Conscious Sedation in Dentistry: Alternative Techniques. A Report from the Standing Committee on Sedation for Dentistry: Alternative Techniques. London 2007.

24. Lahoud GY, Averley PA, Hanlon MR. Sevoflurane inhalation conscious sedation for children having dental treatment. Anaesthesia. 2001 May;56(5):476-80.

25. Averley PA, Girdler NM, Bond S, Steen N, Steele J. A randomised controlled trial of paediatric conscious sedation for dental treatment using intravenous midazolam combined with inhaled nitrous oxide or nitrous oxide/sevoflurane. Anaesthesia. 2004Sep;59(9):844-52.

26. American Society of Anesthesiologists. ASA Physical Status Classification System. Washington: ASA 2013. http://www.asahq.org/clinical/physicalstatus.htm (accessed 27 June 20013).

27. Girdler NM, Rynn D, Lyne JP, Wilson KE. A prospective randomised controlled study of patient-controlled propofol sedation in phobic dental patients. Anaesthesia. 2000 Apr;55(4):327-33.

28. Wilson KE, Girdler NM, Welbury RR. Randomized, controlled, cross-over clinical trial comparing intravenous midazolam sedation with nitrous oxide sedation in children undergoing dental extractions. Br J Anaesth. 2003 Dec;91(6):850-6.

29. Matthews RW, Malkawi Z, Griffiths MJ, Scully C. Pulse oximetry during minor oral surgery with and without intravenous sedation. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1992 Nov;74(5):537-43.

30. Saraghi M, Badner VM, Golden LR, Hersh EV. Propofol: an overview of its risks and benefits. Compend Contin Educ Dent. 2013 Apr;34(4):252-8.

31. Lambert C. Sexual phenomena hypnosis and nitrous oxide sedation. J Am Dent Assoc. 1982 Dec;105(6):990-1.

32. Nunn JF, Sharer N, Royston D, Watts RW, Purkiss P, Worth HG. Serum methionine and hepatic enzyme activity

in anaesthetists exposed to nitrous oxide. Br J Anaesth. 1982 Jun;54(6):593-7.

33. Sweeney B, Bingham RM, Amos RJ, Petty AC, Cole PV. Toxicity of bone marrow in dentists exposed to nitrous oxide. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed). 1985 Aug 31;291(6495):567-9.

34. Nunn JF, Sharer NM, Gorchein A, Jones JA,
Wickramasinghe SN. Megaloblastic haemopoiesis after multiple short-term exposure to nitrous oxide. Lancet.
1982 Jun 19;1(8286):1379-81.

35. Faust RJ, Cucchiara RF. Anesthesiology Review. 3rd ed. Philadelphia (PA): Churchill Livingstone; 2002. p. 107.

36. Lahoud GY, Averley PA. Comparison of sevoflurane and nitrous oxide mixture with nitrous oxide alone for inhalation conscious sedation in children having dental treatment: a randomised controlled trial. Anaesthesia. 2002 May;57(5):446-50.

37. Yagiela JA. Health hazards and nitrous oxide: a time for reappraisal. Anesth Prog. 1991Jan-Feb;38(1):1-11.

38. Wilson KE, Dorman ML, Moore PA, Girdler NM. Pain control and anxiety management for periodontal therapies. Periodontol 2000. 2008;46:42-55.

39. Donaldson D, Meechan JG. The hazards of chronic exposure to nitrous oxide: an update. Br Dent J. 1995 Feb 11;178(3):95-100.

40. Haraguchi N, Furusawa H, Takezaki R, Oi K. Inhalation Sedation With Sevoflurane: A Comparative Study With Nitrous Oxide. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1995;53:24-6.

41. Ganzberg S, Weaver J, Beck FM, McCaffrey G. Use of sevoflurane inhalation sedation for outpatient third molar surgery. Anesth Prog. 1999 Winter;46(1):21-9.

42. Lahoud GY, Hopkins PM. Balanced conscious sedation with intravenous induction and inhalational maintenance for patients requiring endoscopic and/or surgical procedures. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2007 Feb;24(2):116-21.

43. McGoldrick P, Levitt J, de Jongh A, Mason A, Evans D. Referrals to a secondary care dental clinic for anxious adult patients: implications for treatment. Br Dent J. 2001 Dec 22;191(12):686-8.

44. Aartman IH, de Jongh A, Makkes PC, Hoogstraten J. Treatment modalities in a dental fear clinic and the relation with general psychopathology and oral health variables. Br Dent J. 1999 May 8;186(9):467-71.

45. Brown DF, Wright FA, McMurray NE. Psychological and behavioral factors associated with dental anxiety in children. J Behav Med. 1986 Apr;9(2):213-8.

46. Lourenço-Matharu L, Ashley PF, Furness S. Sedation of children undergoing dental treatment. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012 Mar 14;3:CD003877.

47. Jones R. Weak evidence that oral midazolam is an effective sedative agent for children undergoing dental treatment. Evid Based Dent. 2012;13(3):76-7.



48. Lourenço-Matharu L, Ashley PF, Furness S. Sedation of children undergoing dental treatment. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012 Mar 14;3:CD003877.

49. Lee HH, Milgrom P, Starks H, Burke W. Paediatr Anaesth. Trends in death associated with pediatric dental sedation and general anesthesia. 2013 Aug;23(8):741-6.

50. Giovannitti JA Jr. Pharmacology of intravenous sedative/anesthetic medications used in oral surgery. Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am. 2013 Aug;25(3):439-51.

51. Oei-Lim VL, Kalkman CJ, Makkes PC, Ooms WG. Patientcontrolled versus anesthesiologist-controlled conscious sedation with propofol for dental treatment in anxious patients. Anesth Analg. 1998 May;86(5):967-72.

52. Girdler NM, Rynn D, Lyne JP, Wilson KE. A prospective randomised controlled study of patient-controlled propofol sedation in phobic dental patients. Anaesthesia. 2000 Apr;55(4):327-33.

53. Rodrigo MRC, Jonnson E. Conscious sedation with propofol. Br Dent J. 1989;166:75-9.

54. Driscoll EJ. Dental anesthesiology: its history and continuing evolution. Anesth Prog. 1978;25:143.

55. Drummond-Jackson SL. "The Jorgensen Technique"-a Tribute to Neils Bjorn Jorgensen. Anesth Prog. 1969 Apr;16(4):119-22.

PEER REVIEW

Not commissioned. Externally peer reviewed.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The author declares that they have no competing interests.