Letter to the Editor AMJ 2013 6,8

Knowledge, attitude and perceived skill levels at the beginning and conclusion of a medical humanities module

Corresponding Author: P Ravi Shankar Email: ravi.dr.shankar@gmail.com

Dear Editor,

Medical humanities (MH) has been described as the application of techniques of reporting, interpreting and theorising developed by traditional humanities fields to phenomena within the traditional medical field.¹ There is a strong evidence base for using arts-based interventions to foster diagnostic observation skills among students.² Studies undertaken to date, describing the effects of MH modules on attitudes, inadequately describe the methodology and results and no studies considered the effects on behaviour.²

A medical humanities (MH) module, Sparshanam has been conducted for all first year undergraduate medical students at KIST Medical College, Nepal, since 2008.³ Knowledge, attitude and perceived skills (KAS) in the areas of empathy, what it means to be sick in Nepal, the doctor, the patient, the family, doctor-patient relationship and professional values in medicine were studied at the beginning and conclusion of the module during 2011-2012.

Self-reported knowledge in specific topics was noted using the following scale: No idea (1), vague idea (2) and clear idea (3). The attitude of respondents was measured by noting their degree of agreement with a set of statements using a modified Likert-type scale scored as: strongly disagree with the statement (1), disagree (2), neutral (3), agree (4) and strongly agree (5). The perceived skills were measured by asking participants to indicate their levels using the following scores: Not confident (1), somewhat confident (2), very confident (3) and will be able to do independently in future (4). The statements were arrived at through consensus among the authors. The questionnaire was reviewed by medical education experts. Free text comments were noted and the common ones (provided by five or more respondents) reported. Sixty-five of the 80 students (81%) participated; the majority were male, urban and had studied in private schools. Table 1 shows the respondents' knowledge of individual subject areas before and after the module. Before the module the majority of the respondents had either no idea or a vague idea about different areas but after the module this changed to having a clear idea for most of the areas or having a vague idea for the others. Table 2 shows the respondents' degree of agreement with individual statements before and after the module. Table 3 compares the perceived skill levels before and after the module. An increased percentage of respondents felt very confident about their skills and felt they would be able to perform the same independently in future.

Among the paraphrased free text comments were: Learning was fun and interesting (nine respondents).

Sessions were very useful and developed our understanding about empathy, sympathy and importance of patient (seven respondents).

The sessions were very helpful (six respondents)

Sparshanam was very useful but the duration could be increased (six respondents).

The free text comments reflect the interest created by the module's 'different' approach to teaching-learning. MH programs create an environment of relaxation, comfort and safety. Respondents explore issues they would face in their future practice in a protected environment where mistakes can be tolerated. Based on feedback obtained the authors are working on using more scenarios and paintings from a Nepalese context in the module.

In our study respondents' knowledge of empathy and its importance in medicine, and their perceived level of skills in dealing empathetically with a patient significantly increased after the module. The module starts with a session on empathy where different aspects of empathy are discussed. Students perform role-plays showing an empathetic and non-empathetic doctor-patient consultation. Our MH program is mainly based on western literature and paintings and western concepts. The case scenarios and role-plays however reflect Nepalese scenarios and problems and the literature excerpts though written by Western authors in



English describe various aspects of health, disease and society in Nepal.

The strength of the study was the good response rate. The respondents' demographic characteristics reflected the class demographics. The study had limitations. KAS were measured using a questionnaire developed by the authors. Although the questionnaire was pre-tested among four second year students for comprehension and readability, it had not been validated. Other modalities or assessments were not used. The scores were studied immediately after the module and retention effects were not examined. KAS levels were those perceived by the students.

KAS levels increased after the MH module. The long term impact of the module on attitudes and behaviour of students during the clinical years of study and practice should be studied. We plan to explore this issue in future. Sincerely,

Shankar PR^{*} Piryani RM, Singh KK, Dhakal AK, Shakya A

KIST Medical College, Lalitpur, Nepal.

* Presently at Xavier University School of Medicine, Aruba, Dutch Caribbean

References

1. Evans M. Reflection on the humanities in medical education. Med Educ 2002;36:508–513.

Perry M, Maffulli N, Willson S, Morrissey D. The effectiveness of arts-based interventions in medical education: a literature review. Med Educ 2011;45:141-148.
Shankar PR. Developing and sustaining a medical humanities program at KIST Medical College, Nepal. Indian J Med Ethics 2013:10:51-53.

Table 1: Respondents' knowledge before and after the module

S.No	Statement Number (Percentage)			of	Number (F	Number (Percentage) of		
		respondents before the module			respondents after the module			
		No idea	Vague	Clear	No idea	Vague	Clear	
			idea	idea		idea	idea	
1	Empathy and its importance in medicine	12 (18.5)	48	5 (7.7)	0	4 (6.2)	61 (93.8)	
			(73.8)					
2	Problems faced by sick people in Nepal	0	53	12 (18.5)	0	9 (13.8)	56 (86.2)	
			(81.5)					
3	The patient perspective of illness	24 (36.9)	36	3 (4.6)	0	25 (38.5)	40 (61.5)	
			(55.4)					
4	Social obligations of doctors	18 (27.7)	40	7 (10.9)	0	26 (40)	39 (60)	
			(61.5)					
5	Recent changes in doctor-patient	19 (29.2)	38	8 (12.3)	0	18 (27.7)	47 (72.3)	
	relationship in Nepal		(58.5)					
6	The role of the family in providing support to	3(4.6)	35	26 (40)	0	5 (7.7)	60 (92.3)	
	the sick		(53.8)					
7	Professional values in medical education	26 (40)	32	6 (9.2)	0	24 (36.9)	41 (63.1)	
			(49.2)					

Table 2: Respondents' attitude before and after the module

Statement		Number (Percentage) of respondents					Number (Percentage) of respondents				
		perore the module			after th						
		Strongly	Disag-	Neutral	Agree	Strongly	Strongly	Disag-	Neutral	Agree	Strongly
		disagree	ree			agree	disagree	ree			agree
1.	I do not understand why it is	1 (1.5)	13	11	30	9	2	1	1	18	43
	important for medical		(20)	(16.9)	(46.2)	(13.8)	(3.1)	(1.5)	(1.5)	(27.7)	(66.2)
	students to learn about		. ,			. ,	. ,	. ,	. ,		. ,
	empathy										
	empathy										
2.	In Nepal sick people face	1 (1.5)	3	1	42	18	3	0	0	30	32
	major problems in reaching		(4.6)	(1.5)	(64.6)	(27.7)	(4.6)			(46.2)	(49.2)
	health facilities.		. ,			. ,	. ,			. ,	. ,
3.	Patient illness should be	0	4	20	25	16	3	3	8	18	33
	viewed holistically taking		(6.2)	(30.8)	(38.5)	(24.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(12.3)	(27.7)	(50.8)
	into consideration family,										
	community and society.										
4.	As I am a self-financing	2 (3.1)	4	15	34	10	5	3	5	25	26
	student in a private medical		(6.2)	(23.1)	(52.3)	(15.4)	(7.7)	(4.6)	(7.7)	(38.5)	(40)
	school I have no social		. ,	. ,		. ,	. ,		. ,	. ,	. ,
	obligations.										
	Informed and aware patients	. (2.2)						_			
Э.	will help improve the	4 (6.2)	2	7	30	22	2	5	7	32	19
	nractice of medicine		(3.1)	(10.8)	(46.2)	(33.8)	(3.1)	(7.7)	(10.8)	(49.2)	(29.2)
	practice of medicine.										
6.	Family can have a negative	1 (1.5)	14	10	32	7	9	10	8	33	5
	impact on a sick patient.	= (1.0)	(21 5)	(15.4)	(49.2)	(10.8)	(13.8)	(15.4)	(12 3)	(50.8)	- (7.7)
			(21.5)	(10.4)	(-5.2)	(10.0)	(10.0)	(10.4)	(12.5)	(30.0)	(,.,,
7.	All medical students should	3 (4.6)	10	11	27	14	9	9	11	23	13
	be conservatively and		(15.4)	(16.9)	(41.5)	(21.5)	(13.8)	(13.8)	(16.9)	(35.4)	(20)
	formally dressed.										
			1	1		1	1	1	1	1	

S	Statement	Number (Percentage) of respondents before			Number (Percentage) of respondents after the						
Ν		the module				module					
о		Not	Somewhat	Very	Will be able	Not	Somewhat	Very	Will be able		
		confident	confident	confident	to do	confident	confident	confident	to do		
					independently				independently		
					in future				in future		
1	Dealing	18 (27.7)	39 (60)	5 (7.7)	3 (4.6)	1 (1.5)	33 (50.8)	21 (32.3)	10 (15.4)		
	empathetically										
	with a patient										
2	Considering a	21 (32.3)	37	6 (9.2)	1 (1.5)	1 (1.5)	26 (40)	28 (43.1)	9 (13.8)		
	holistic patient		(56.9)								
	perspective										
	while										
	providing										
	medical care										
3	Forming a	10 (15.4)	31	20 (30.8)	4 (6.2)	2 (3.1)	15 (23.1)	22 (33.8)	26 (40)		
	patient-		(47.7)								
	centred										
	relationship in										
	my future										
	practice										
4	Involving	22 (33.8)	29	8 (12.3)	6 (9.2)	5 (7.7)	22 (33.8)	26 (40)	12 (18.5)		
	family		(44.6)								
	treatment of										
	my patients										
5	Putting the	8 (12.3)	30	20 (30.8)	6 (9.2)	2 (3.1)	15 (23.1)	20 (30.8)	25 (38.5)		
	considerations		(46.2)	, , ,			, ,	, -,	, ,		
	of my patient										
	above all										
	others										

Table 3: Respondents' perceived skills before and after the module